

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/649,091	BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY J.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) MATTHEW HOEL, examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Patricia Chidiac, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2010

Time: P.M.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

FR, 09-28-2009.

Claims discussed:

1-13, 15, 16, 19-35, 37-41, 43-56, 103, 125.

Prior art documents discussed:

Slomiany (6,612,927 B1); Olive (2002/0025849 A1); Morris (5,324,035 A); Adams (2003/0114215 A1).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner was authorized to enter the proposed amendments that the applicants faxed to the examiner on 03-16-2010, and to cancel by examiner's amendment claim 126, which was not cancelled in the proposed faxed amendments.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M.D.H./
 Examiner, Art Unit 3714

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)